

204/2

2025:PHHC:111174



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRA S-1918-2025**

Date of Decision: 22.08.2025

Parkash Chand

...Appellant(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT**

Present:- Mr. Prabhsimran Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Bhupinder Gupta, Advocate for  
for the appellant.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shivender Pal Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2.

**N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)**

1. The appellant has filed the present appeal against the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur in a criminal complaint registered under Sections 3(1)(r)(s) (2) (viii) of the Schedule Castes and Schedule Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 323 of IPC, whereby, the anticipatory bail petition filed by the appellant was ordered to be dismissed.

2. While granting the concession of anticipatory bail on 05.06.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court:-

*“Learned counsel for the appellant inter alia contends that marital discord between Shivani Devi (appellant in CRA-S-1915-2025) and her husband Kashmir Singh has resulted in filing of a false criminal complaint by Kashmir Singh. He submits that Kashmir Singh married Shivani Devi on 05.12.2014 and out of their wedlock, a daughter was born on 15.12.2015. In fact, the appellant-Shivani Devi lodged FIR against her in-laws and criminal complaint was filed in order to falsely involve the appellants in both these appeals.”.*

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has reiterated the submissions and submitted that in compliance of the *interim* order dated 05.06.2025 passed by this Court, the appellant has appeared before the trial Court and has been admitted to *interim* bail.

4. Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the appellant has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. It is not in dispute that in compliance of the order dated 05.06.2025 passed by this Court, the appellant had appeared before the trial Court and is continuously appearing before the Court. Even, there is no allegation that the appellant has misused the concession of *interim* bail in any manner.

7. Without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present appeal is allowed. The appellant is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the appellant to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

**22.08.2025**

amit rana

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**

**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No