



239 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.54086 of 2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 29.09.2025

Ravi

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Ajay Kripal Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

**CRM-38711-2025**

Allowed as prayed for.

**Main case**

1. Present petition has been filed praying for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.37, dated 09.02.2024, under Section 365 (Sections 364-A, 120-B, 201 read with Section 34 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act added lateron), registered at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

2. Succinctly the facts of the case are that FIR in the present case was got registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Rakesh. It was alleged that his nephew, namely, Raghav, was of the age of 12 years and was studying in Vidyasheel School, Hansi Road. He used to go to school daily on bicycle. However on 09.02.2024, he went to the school and after the school, he used to go to coaching from 04:00 P.M. to 05:00 P.M. His friend Keshav came to the house with the bag of Raghav and informed that Raghav



was not present at the shop. The family members being apprehensive, started searching for Raghav, but he could not be traced. They came to know that Raghav was kidnapped in an Ertiga car and was taken towards the village Ratera. The request was made to trace out/search Raghav and take legal action against the accused. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. During the investigation, child, namely, Raghav was recovered on 12.02.2024 from the custody of accused, namely, Khetu, Vir Singh @ Lekhu and others. During the investigation, complicity of the petitioner also surfaced and thus, he was also arrayed as an accused in the present case. The petitioner was arrested on 07.03.2024. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani, praying for the grant of bail, however after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani declined the petition filed by the petitioner vide order dated 04.09.2025. Hence being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for the grant of regular bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the order passed by this Court in **CRM-M-36849-2025** dated 22.08.2025 whereby co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Sudarshan @ Sandeep, has been granted the concession of bail. He has submitted that case of the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, who has been granted bail by this Court. He submits that on the basis of the parity, petitioner deserves to be granted bail as case of the petitioner is similar to that of the co-accused, who has already been granted bail.



4. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the State however has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that complicity of the petitioner was established during the investigation. He has placed on record custody certificate of the petitioner dated 28.09.2025 today in the Court and the same is taken on record. He has submitted that the petitioner is involved in two more cases. He has endorsed the fact that co-accused, namely, Sudarshan @ Sandeep, has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 22.08.2025.

5. Heard.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that complicity of the petitioner in the present case was surfaced during the investigation. The petitioner was arrested on 07.03.2024 and since then, he is behind bars. As per the custody certificate of the petitioner, he has completed incarceration of 01 year, 06 months and 22 days as on 28.09.2025. It further reflects that the petitioner is involved in two more case, out of which in one case he has been acquitted. Co-accused of the petitioner has been released on bail by this Court vide order dated 22.08.2025.

7. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.

8. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for the grant of bail



on parity. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. However, if the petitioner does not furnish the bail bonds within seven days from today, then his further custody period after one week will not be counted in this case.

29.09.2025

*ps-I*

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No