

ROOP SINGH @ ROOPA

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)**

The aforesaid petition was filed on 29.08.2024 by Ms. Kuljit Kaur, Advocate. The Registry had raised objection on the case file on 30.08.2024.

2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the advocates were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections for re-filing after removal of objections.

3. It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for appropriate order. The case was shown in the cause list. No one was present on behalf of the petitioner on the last date, nor today. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed and the same is disposed of. It is clarified that if the petitioner files a fresh petition, filing and disposal of the present petition shall not come in the way.

4. In parting, the concerned District Legal Authority is directed to contact the petitioner in the present case and apprise him of his legal rights to file an appropriate application for bail, if he still in custody, either before the Sessions Court concerned or before this Court. Further, in case the petitioner needs assistance of legal aid counsel, the same shall be provided to him in accordance with law.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

05.05.2025  
renubala

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No  
Whether Reportable Yes/No