

**CMs-3287-88-LPA-2024,  
CM-3289-LPA-2024 and  
CM-1538-LPA-2025 in  
RA-LP-16-2024 in  
LPA-309-2023**

**Ramesh**

**vs.**

**State of Haryana and others**

**Present:-** Mr. Ashish Chopra, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Charandeep Singh, Mr. Kartik Gupta, &  
Ms. Nitika Sharma, Advocates for applicant-respondent No.5.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabbra, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate for non-applicant/appellant.

\* \* \*

**CM-3287-LPA-2024**

The present application has been filed for condonation of delay of 355 days in re-filing the review application.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabbra, Addl. A.G. Haryana and Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of State and for non-applicant/appellant respectively.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed. Delay of 355 days in re-filing the review application is condoned.

**CM-3288-LPA-2024**

Present application has been filed for placing on record and for exemption from filing certified/typed copies of order dated 29.03.2023 (Annexure A-1) and Annexures A-2 to A-21.

As prayed for, application is allowed.

Annexures A-1 to A-21 are taken on record.

**CM-3289-LPA-2024**

Present application has been filed for staying the operation and implementation of order dated 29.03.2023 (Annexure A-1) passed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the review-applicant submits that present application may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

**CM-1538-LPA-2025**

Present application has been filed for preponing the date of hearing of the review application.

Learned counsel for the review-applicant submits that present application may kindly be disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly..

**Main Review application**

In the present review application, the prayer of the applicant-respondent No.5 is for recalling/review of the order dated 29.03.2023 (Annexure A-1) passed by the Division Bench of this Court in LPA-309-2023 according to which, till the matter is decided by the learned Single Judge, the status quo is to be maintained by all the parties concerned.

Learned Senior counsel appearing for applicant-respondent No.5 submits that though the order was passed in March, 2023 but a period of more than two years has elapsed and the case is still pending before the learned Single Bench and is now listed for 11.09.2025 and the prejudice is being suffered by the applicant-respondent No.5

Learned Senior counsel appearing for applicant-respondent No.5 submits that he undertakes that in case the ultimate decision in the writ petition goes against the applicant-respondent No.5, he will not claim any right in case the interim order is vacated.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant/appellant submits that

once a conscious order was passed by the Division Bench of this Court that the status quo is to be maintained till the decision of the writ petition by the learned Single Bench, no fresh facts have come into being to modify the same and the same may kindly be allowed to operate as the next date of hearing is not that far away i.e. 11.09.2025.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

While passing the order dated 29.03.2023 by the Division Bench, the matter was remanded back to the learned Single Judge to decide the issue raised within a period of 04 weeks. Now, more than two years have elapsed and the interim order is continuing.

Once the matter is being heard by the learned Single Judge, the learned Single Judge is in a better position to appreciate the fact as to whether the interim order needs to be continued or to be vacated rather than this Court deciding the said issue hence, a liberty is given to the applicant-respondent No.5 to move an application before the learned Single Judge in case, the writ petition remains pending for another 08 weeks starting from today and in case any such application is moved, learned Single Judge will have full jurisdiction to decide the same in accordance with law after giving due opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned. Order dated 29.03.2025 is accordingly modified.

Present application stands disposed of in above terms.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**August 22, 2025**  
*aarti*