



CRM-M-33887-2025

1

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33887-2025

Date of Decision: 29.09.2025

IBRAHIM

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHORPresent : Mr. N.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Ajay.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 844 dated 14.11.2022 under Sections 406/420/34/201 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 58, District Faridabad.
2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 25.09.2025 of Varun Kumar Dahiya, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Crime-II, Faridabad filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.
3. On 09.07.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 844 dated 14.11.2022 under Sections 406/420/34/201 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 58, District Faridabad.

The case of the prosecution is that ATM card of the



complainant was taken from him by one Vijay who projected himself to be the guard of the ATM on the pretext of helping the complainant and after obtaining the PIN number he fled away from the spot. As a result, a total of Rs. 85,000/- was unlawfully withdrawn from the complainant's account. On 12.09.2023, accused-Vijay was arrested and on interrogation, he stated about the involvement of his co-accused namely Mauj Khan. The role of the petitioner is that he swiped the ATM card of the complainant to withdraw Rs.60,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petitioner has been implicated in the present case on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused namely Mauj Khan, three years after the incident occurred. A challan has been filed against both Vijay and Mauj Khan, who have since been granted bail. However, at this belated stage, the arrest of the petitioner is sought for his involvement in this case.

Notice of motion for 29.09.2025.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any in- ducement, threat or promise*



to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior per- mission of the Court;

iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

4. Today, on instructions from ASI Ajay, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 09.07.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 09.07.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

4. Disposed of.

5. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

29.09.2025

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No