

2025:PHHC:037949



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**114**

**CWP-7768-2025**

**Date of Decision: 20.03.2025**

**NARENDER KUMAR**

... Petitioner

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

**\*\*\*\***

Present: Mr. Randhir Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

**\*\*\*\***

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of prohibition to the effect that respondent No.4 be not promoted to the post of Naib Tehsildar.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent No.4 had earlier sought his transfer out of the Cadre, as result whereof he had to lose his seniority. However, on his re-induction in the present Cadre, he has been given the benefit of full seniority, which is contrary to the relevant rules and law. He submits that the Competent Authority allowed him to appear in the Departmental Examination for further promotion to the post of Naib Tehsildar by giving him benefit of seniority, which was wrongfully extended to him. He, however, does not dispute that the said action is already a subject matter of challenge before this Court in CWP-378 of 2025 titled as 'Narender Kumar Versus State of Haryana and Others'.

In view of the same, a fresh writ petition challenging a consequential action, wherein the initial order(s) is/are not under challenge, *prima facie* would not be maintainable.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the instant writ petition with liberty to move an appropriate application in the pending writ petition CWP-378 of 2025 (supra), if so advised.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

**MARCH 20, 2025.**

*Rajender*

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No