

134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

INCOMP-705-2025
Date of Decision:23.04.2025

DHANPATI DEVI Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Nemo.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Lawyers are observing 'no work day'.
2. The aforesaid Civil Writ Petition was filed on 26.09.2024 by Sh. Nitish Yadav, Advocate. The Registry had raised certain objections on the case file on 26.09.2024. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections.
3. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.
4. A note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the Advocates were requested to collect their cases lying with objections. As per office report, message at registered mobile number of the Advocate/his Clerk was also sent, however, nobody has come forward.
5. The instant case is shown in the cause list for today i.e. 23.04.2025, however, no one is present.
6. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

23.04.2025

Ali

| | |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable | Yes/No |