

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

140

2025:PHHC:097101



COCP No. 3703 of 2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 31st July, 2025

Mohinder Singh Teji

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Gaurav Yadav Director General of Police and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE **NIDHI GUPTA**.

Present: Mr. V.K. Sharma, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG Punjab.

NIDHI GUPTA, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 20.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in Civil Writ Petition No. 21415 of 2017, titled as '*Mohinder Singh Teji Versus State of Punjab and others.*'

2. Vide a force order dated 20.9.2017, the said writ petition was disposed of by this Court with the following directions:

“7. As a result of aforesaid discussion, instant petition is allowed and respondents are directed to release all the pensionary benefits of petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, failing which, it shall entail interest @ 9% per annum till its realization. In case of non-compliance, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said direction has not been complied with by the respondents, even though legal notice was sent to the respondents. It is further submitted that

respondents have sought review of order dated 20.09.2017 vide RA-CW-52-2018 dated 23.02.2018 which has been pending for the last 7 years as evident from the status Annexure P-2. However, there is no stay with regard to release of pensionary benefits to the petitioner. Accordingly, prays that the respondent be punished for violating the order dated 20.09.2017.

4. Learned counsel for respondent-State on instructions informs that the pensionary benefits have been released to the petitioner as far as back in the year 2018. Upon Court query, learned counsel for the petitioner now admits that pensionary benefits have been released to the petitioner, however, states that gratuity and leave encashment have not been released.

5. Heard.

6. A perusal of the above reproduced direction of this Court vide order dated 20.09.2017 shows that there was no direction for release of gratuity and leave encashment; and it was only directed that pensionary benefits of the petitioner be released. It is admitted by the petitioner that the same have been released.

7. In this view of the matter, no violation is made out against the respondents.

8. **Dismissed.**

9. Rule stands discharged.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

31st July, 2025

reema

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes
Whether reportable No