



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

236

**CRM-M No.59191 of 2024
Date of Decision:10.02.2025**

Amit Jain @ Vansh Jain

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Neha Bindal, Advocate for
Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for directing respondents No.2 to 4 not to harass the petitioner at the instance of respondent No.5, as the matter has already been inquired into twice and allegations with regard to harassment and demand of dowry have already been found to be false vide inquiry reports dated 08.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) and 31.05.2024 (Annexure P-4).

2. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Darshana submits that FIR No.100 dated 25.11.2024 has been registered against the petitioner and challan stands presented on 22.01.2025.

3. In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition and seeks liberty to avail alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

4. Disposed of as having not pressed with liberty aforesaid.

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

February 10, 2025

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No