

230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-228-2025
Date of Decision:26.08.2025

RUBAL RANI

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil Chowdhary, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Simble, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS 2023 for issuance of appropriate directions to the respondents to consider the complaint of the petitioner dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-7), and to conduct fair enquiry/ investigation in the matter.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was engaged with respondent No.4 and it is alleged that at the first meeting, petitioner was physically assaulted on the pretext of solemnizing the marriage, which led to the present dispute, however, the matter was resolved before the Crime Against Women, ACP, Amritsar, on a compromise agreement (Annexure P-2) and, thereafter, *mangni* ceremony was also performed. It is further alleged that subsequently respondent No.4 backed out from the said compromise and the complaint dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-7) was filed by the petitioner which has not been looked into.



3. Learned State counsel has filed the short reply dated 14.02.2025 along with the enquiry report in which the subsequent complaint mentioned by the petitioner has not been found to have been received by the authorities and earlier complaint has been thoroughly looked into wherein it has been recorded that infact it is the petitioner who has refused to solemnize the marriage and rather the marriage palace was also booked by respondent No.4 and apart from that respondent No.4 had been transferring huge amounts to the petitioner when their relationship was good.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. In view of above, the Court does not find it to be a fit case where directions are required to be issued and the same is dismissed, however, the petitioner is at liberty to seek appropriate remedy as available to her in accordance with law.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

26.08.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*