



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

RSA-4011-2000**Date of decision : 22.05.2025****Gurinder Pal Singh and another****..... Appellants****versus****Surinder Kaur and another****..... Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Dhirinder Chopra, Advocate (through V.C.) and
Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate
for the appellants.

None for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Chopra submits that the dispute was with respect to nature of possession over the property, which was owned by Municipal Corporation. Now, Municipal Corporation has demolished the property and thus, the question of nature of possession has been rendered irrelevant.

2. In view thereof, the appeal has been rendered infructuous.

3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE**

22.05.2025

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No