



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.9705 of 2025(O&M)  
Date of Order: 08.09.2025

**Amanpreet Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

**Present: Mr. Hasan Kaur, Advocate, for  
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.**

**SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, JUDGE**

1. The petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has been filed, seeking the following reliefs:-

- (i) Protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and to restrain respondent no.4 from harassing or interfering in his peaceful life;
- (ii) Direction to official respondent no.2 to initiate proceedings under Section 166-A IPC against the erring police officials.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent no.4 was wife of the petitioner and the couple had two children. Respondent no.4 was suffering from mental disorder and was habitual of quarreling with the petitioner. He further submits that on 20.07.2025, family of the respondent took her away leaving both children behind. While leaving respondent no.4



took away all her valuable ornaments. Respondent no.4 was now openly threatening to implicate entire family of the petitioner in a false case. Petitioner filed a divorce petition in District Court, Roopnagar, which was yet pending. Private respondent no.4 was continuously harassing and threatening the petitioner pressurizing him to withdraw the divorce petition. No action was taken by the police on petitioner's representation, Annexure P-2.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

5. From the contents of the petition and the arguments addressed, the matter appears to be one of matrimonial discord between petitioner and respondent no.4. No interference by this court in exercise of writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is warranted.

6. For initiating proceedings under Section 166-A IPC against police officials, petitioner is at liberty to avail alternative remedy available to him in law.

7. Hence, dismissed.

8. All the miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**08.09.2025**  
**nt**

**(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned :YES/NO**  
**Whether reportable :YES/NO**