



204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3561-2023

Date of decision: 17.09.2025

Jagwanti Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Corporation Bank and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Akaant K. Mittal, Advocate for

Mr. Bhavesh Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 08.05.2023 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Sonipat, whereby an application dated 13.03.2023 (Annexure P-3) moved by the petitioner for leading additional evidence has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has submitted that apart from the fact that the present application for additional evidence is not maintainable even evidence sought to be pleaded is contrary to the averments made in the written statement.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for amendment of the written statement.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has submitted that in case such a liberty is granted to the petitioner then liberty be also granted to respondent No.1 to raise all the pleas to abovesaid application for amendment.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for amendment of the written statement. Liberty is also granted to respondent No.1 to raise all the pleas to any application for amendment of the written statement filed by the petitioner.

17.09.2025

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No