



207+208+313

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision : 22-04-2025

207

207-1 CWP-6167-2023 (O&M)

CHANDIGARH CHILD AND WOMEN DEVELOPMENT CORPN.
LTD.Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DABAR SINGH AND ANRRespondent(s)

207-2 CWP-6249-2023 (O&M)

CHANDIGARH CHILD AND WOMEN DEVELOPMENT CORPN.
LTD.Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAJOR SINGH AND ANRRespondent(s)

207-3 CWP-20337-2024 (O&M)

CHANDIGARH CHILD AND WOMEN DEVELOPMENT CORPN.
LTD.Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHIV KUMAR AND ANRRespondent(s)

208

208-1 CWP-6390-2023 (O&M)

CHANDIGARH CHILD AND WOMEN DEVELOPMENT CORPN.
LTD.Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMRIT PAL AND ANR.Respondent(s)

313

313-1 CWP-970-2025 (O&M)

CHANDIGARH CHILD AND WOMEN DEVELOPMENT CORPN.
LTD.Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VINEET SHARMA AND ANRRespondent(s)

313-2

CWP-977-2025 (O&M)

CHANDIGARH CHILD AND WOMEN DEVELOPMENT CORPN.
LTD.Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VINEET SHARMA AND ANRRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate and
Mr. Nitesh Jhjhria, Advocate
For the petitioner in
CWP Nos.6167, 6249 and 6390 of 2023.

Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar, Addl. Standing Counsel
For the petitioner-U.T., Chandigarh in CWP No.20337 of 2024.

Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate and
Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
For the petitioner in CWP Nos.970 and 977 of 2025.

Mr. Ajay Singh Rawat, Advocate
For respondent No.1 in CWP No.6167, 6249, 6390 of 2023 and
CWP Nos. 970 and 977 of 2025.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Present are the bunch of six writ petitions, the details of which are mentioned in the heading involve common question of law in the context of common set of facts and thus they are being decided by a common order.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the issue raised in the present bunch of petitions has already been decided by this Court while passing the order in the bunch of petitions including CWP No.1448 of 2019 titled "*Chandigarh Child and Women Development Corporation Ltd. Vs. Dil Raj Singh and anr.*", decided on

25.03.2025, wherein the similar award passed on the same ground that termination of services of the respondent-workmen was in violation of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as '1947 Act' has been held to be bad.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-workmen has not been able to dispute the fact that the similar awards passed in favour of the respondent-workmen on the similar grounds, has already been set aside.

4. Keeping in view the above mentioned facts, the present petitions are also allowed in terms of the order passed by this Court in CWP No.1448 of 2019 titled "*Chandigarh Child and Women Development Corporation Ltd. Vs. Dil Raj Singh and anr.*", decided on 25.03.2025.

5. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

22-04-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO