

2025:PHHC:093132



153 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-683-2025 (O&M)
Decided on:-25.07.2025**

Raj Kumar

....Petitioner..

vs.

Chander Sekhar Bawa and another

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate and
Mr. Didar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Tanvir Singh Grewal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner while referring to the contents of para 6 of the reply filed by respondent No.1, wherein the possession/occupation of the petitioner over the shop in question has been admitted, submits that the present petition may be disposed of in terms thereof. For reference, para 6 of the reply is reproduced hereunder:-

“6. That in reply to this para, it is submitted that petitioner has cooked up a false story so as to defame the answering respondent who otherwise has nothing to do with the shop or its possession. As per knowledge of answering respondent, the petitioner is still occupying shop in dispute, however, in order to harass and humiliate the respondents, he has very cleverly cooked

up the story so as to put undue pressure upon the respondents. The contents of preliminary submissions may be read as part of reply to this para.”

2. In view thereof, no cause survives in the present petition, as such, the same is disposed of. Rule stands discharged.

3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

25.07.2025

sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE