



CRM-M-59782-2022

-1-

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-59782-2022

Date of Decision:- 29.08.2025

Jaspreet Singh @ Jaspreet @ Jimmy

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Ms. Rashi Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

Petitioner Jaspreet Singh alias Jaspreet alias Jimmy filed present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 0007 dated 22.01.2018 under Section 376, 120-B of IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-2), as continuation of same is complete abuse of process of law and subsequent proceedings arising out of said FIR may kindly be quashed or any other appropriate order or direction which the Court may deem fit in the given facts and circumstances of case.

2. Brief facts of the case are, prosecutrix 'R' gave her statement that in the year 2012-13, she was doing English Speaking Course at Kingro Institute, Phagwara and Jaspreet Singh alias Jimmy was studying at GNA University, Phagwara. During this period, they got to know each other and fell in love. She visited his village Kunta several times and met his parents who assured to perform her marriage with Jaspreet Singh alias Jimmy.



They asked her to improve her qualification by doing IELTS. On the pretext of marrying her, Jaspreet Singh alias Jimmy developed physical relations with her forcibly despite she tried to stop him. He repeatedly stated that he would marry her. Statement of prosecutrix was recorded in the presence of her father. Investigation was started and finally present FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that allegations levelled against him and his family members are false and without any basis. Infact, they were in consensual relationship which is quite evident from the contents of FIR. Later on their relationship went wrong and in order to take revenge this false FIR has been lodged. Prosecutrix tried to involve his parents in this FIR by giving her supplementary statement dated 04.02.2018 (Annexure P-3). Her parents had filed application seeking their discharge which was declined by the trial Court. His parents filed revision against the said order bearing CRR-1696-2019 which was allowed vide order dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-4). Copy of challan report is Annexure P-5. Now trial is pending against present petitioner who is falsely involved in this case. Prosecutrix was major at that time. She was fully aware about her act and conduct. Allegations levelled against him that they developed physical relations on false promise of marriage is without any basis. Therefore, registration of present FIR and consequent proceedings thereon are nothing but abuse of process of law. Therefore, petition may kindly be accepted as prayed for.

4. Respondent No. 2 was duly served through her father, but nobody appeared on her behalf to contest present petition.



5. Petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State. It is pointed out that on the registration of FIR, investigation was carried out. Statement of victim was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. by learned Illaqa Magistrate, Hoshiarpur and offence under Section 312, 120-B of IPC were added vide DDR No. 11 dated 04.02.2018. It is conceded that parents of present petitioner filed application for their discharge which was finally allowed in CRR-1696-2019 vide order dated 10.11.2022. Trial is pending against present petitioner. Charges are yet to be framed. Allegations are specific and serious in nature. Therefore, present petition filed by petitioner may kindly be dismissed.

6. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. FIR is lodged on the statement of prosecutrix 'R'. As per her version, she came in contact with present petitioner while she was doing English Speaking Course in the year 2012-13, whereas, petitioner was also studying in GNA University, Phagwara. They developed liking for each other. Prosecutrix also met family members of petitioner. Prosecutrix claimed that petitioner developed physical relations with her forcibly by stating that they were to perform marriage. This relationship continued till lodging of present FIR on 22.01.2018. Parents of present petitioner are already discharged in CRR-1696-2019 vide order dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-4). Investigation is completed and challan is presented which is Annexure P-5. It is the case of prosecution that petitioner developed physical relations with prosecutrix on the false promise of marriage. From the contents of petition, there is nothing on record to show that petitioner wanted to marry prosecutrix nor he has tried to explain how and under what circumstances their relationship broke down. It is matter of trial



CRM-M-59782-2022

-4-

whether petitioner had genuine desire to get married with prosecutrix or he developed physical relations with prosecutrix by misrepresentation that he was going to perform marriage with her. Therefore, allegations detailed in FIR cannot be discarded at this stage. Allegations or counter-allegations are matter of trial. Therefore, I do not find a fit case for quashing of FIR No. 0007 dated 22.01.2018 under Section 376, 120-B of IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-2) or consequent proceedings started thereon. Resultantly, present petition filed by petitioner Jaspreet Singh alias Jaspreet alias Jimmy is, accordingly, dismissed.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

29.08.2025

*lalit***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No