



CRM-M-31690-2025(O&amp;M) 1

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-31690-2025(O&M)****Date of decision:06.06.2025**

Kuldeep Kaur

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Ms. Anju Sharma, Advocate  
Mr. Amit Agnihotri, Advocate  
Ms.Mani Makkar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner prays for the grant of concession of regular bail during the pendency of trial in a criminal case arising from FIR no. 36, dated 30.03.2025 registered under Section 108, 351 (3) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sudhar, District Ludhiana.

While deciding CRM-M-29478-2025 (Hardeep Kaur and another vs. State of Punjab) on 26.05.2025, the facts of the case have been noticed as under:-

*“2. As per the prosecution version, instant FIR was registered on the basis of statement of complainant Manjinder Kaur to the effect that for the last about 10 years, her son Pawanpreet Singh was having relations with Kirandeep Kaur. But when the parents of Kirandeep Kaur came to know about the caste of Pawanpreet Singh, they refused to perform*



*marriage of Kirandeep Kaur. When the family members of Pawanpreet Singh apprised of relations of Pawanpreet Singh and Kirandeep Kaur, they insulted Pawanpreet Singh. Pawanpreet Singh took the matter to heart. On 27.03.2025, Gurcharan Singh alias Channa, brother of Kirandeep Kaur called her son Pawanpreet Singh over mobile phone to meet him alone at Halwara on 28.03.2025, where Sukhwinder Singh Sarpanch of Village and family members of Kirandeep Kaur mentally harassed him, due to which he committed suicide by consuming some poisonous substance. Hence, the impugned FIR was registered.”*

Learned counsel representing the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and even final report has been filed by the police. She further submits that conclusion of the trial will take a long time and the petitioner has suffered incarceration for a period of nearly 2 months. This Court has also been informed that similar bail application filed by Hardeep Kaur and another (CRM-M-29478-2025) was allowed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 26.05.2025.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is involved in a heinous crime.

This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel representing the parties.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case and keeping in view the aforesaid facts, it is considered appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on her furnishing bail



**CRM-M-31690-2025(O&M) 3**

bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

The petition stands allowed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE**

**06.06.2025**

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No