

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:076296



CRM-M-32741-2025

Date of decision: 18.06.2025

AMARJEET

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. Admittedly, there is a decree for specific performance of agreement to sell passed in favour of the first informant and against the petitioner's father. Pursuant to the aforesaid decree, which has become final, the sale deed has already been registered in favour of the first informant. The petitioner, who is son of the judgment debtor is alleged to have harvested the wheat crop sown by the first informant (decree holder). Moreover, the property between them has already been partitioned on 23.10.2023.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has filed a suit for declaration and joint possession in which status quo has been granted.
3. A decree passed by the Court is required to be respected. The petitioner refuses to honour the decree, hence, no ground to grant indulgence is made out.
4. Dismissed.

June 18th, 2025*Ayub*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**