



259 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23628-2025
Date of decision: 27.05.2025**

PARVINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Randeep Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Himanshu Bansal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0210 dated 12.11.2022 under Sections 148/149/171-C/188/307/323/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 24.04.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. The following order was passed on 02.05.2025 :-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0210 dated 12.11.2022 under Sections 148/149/171-C/188/307/323/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 24.04.2025 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that initially, the FIR (supra) was registered under Section 307 of IPC, however, the charges were only framed under Sections 171-



C/188/323/506/34 of IPC, as such, there is no embargo on the quashing of the FIR (supra) in view of the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 'The State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others' 2019 (5) SCC 688. Further, the petitioners are the only accused persons in the present case.

Notice of motion for 27.05.2025.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Himanshu Bansal, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No.2 & 3 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance."

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46***, and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition

**CRM-M-23628-2025****3**

is allowed and FIR No.0210 dated 12.11.2022 under Sections 148/149/171-C/188/307/323/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

May 27, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |