



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CWP No. 10521 of 2025

Date of Decision : 09.04.2025

M/s Ravidass Transport Company (Regd.)

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that either the buses owned by the petitioner should be brought into the time table by the respondents-authority so as to frame a new time table or in case, the buses of the petitioner are not to be allowed to operate, the taxed deposited by the petitioner be refunded back to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance with the respondents-authorities vide legal notice dated 04.02.2025 (Annexure P-5) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents-authorities and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.



4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 04.02.2025 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

April 09, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No