



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CWP-4284-2025

Date of decision: 06.03.2025

RAJESH YADAV

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present:- Mr. Vikas K. Sangwan, Advocate and  
Ms. Monika Sangwan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner has approached this Court for quashing the action of the respondent No.2-HSSC of not considering the petitioner eligible and qualified under BC-B category for the posts of Patwari (Group-56, Categories-334) against CET Phase II advt. no. 04/2024 dated 28.06.2024 in the final result dated 17.08.2024 (Annexure P-9).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the similar controversy has already been dealt with by this Court in *CWP-17852-2024* titled as "*Naveen and others versus State of Haryana and others*" decided on 05.02.2025.

Learned State Counsel does not dispute the same.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is accordingly allowed in terms of judgment dated 05.02.2025 passed in

2025:PHHC:031858



**CWP-4284-2025**

-2-

*CWP-17852-2024* titled as *Naveen and others (supra)*.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE**

**MARCH 06, 2025**

*Vishal sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No