

**CRM-M-10660-2025 (O&M)****1**

277

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-10660-2025 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 27.03.2025****ARMAAN SINGH AND OTHERS****...PETITIONERS****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

Present: Mr. Priyanka Bhatia, Advocate for
Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Navneet Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Harpreet Singh Brar, J. (Oral)

1. This 2nd petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.58 dated 11.06.2024 registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Shambu, District Patiala, on the basis of compromise dated 20.01.2025, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The following order was passed on 27.02.2025:

“This 2nd petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.58 dated 11.06.2024 registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Shambu, District Patiala, on the basis of compromise dated 20.01.2025, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

The 1st petition filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR (supra) on the basis of compromise, was dismissed as withdrawn on 27.01.2025, with liberty to file afresh petition with better particulars, after impleading all the parties.

Notice of motion for 27.03.2025.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State and Ms. Navneet Kaur, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and files Vakalatnama, which is taken



CRM-M-10660-2025 (O&M)

2

on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 27.03.2025.

Liberty is granted to petitioner No.4 to get his statement recorded qua compromise through video conferencing.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.58 dated 11.06.2024 registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Shambu, District Patiala along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

27.03.2025

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No