



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(207)

CWP-6659-2025

Date of Decision : 18.07.2025

Meena Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. S. K. Tandon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2-UHBNL.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondents/distribution licensee concerned, apprise this Court, that on dated 17.07.2025, the application of the petitioner, for grant of compensation, on account of death of her husband namely, Sunil Kumar, due to electrocution, has been finally adjudicated, in terms of the compensation policy dated 08.07.2019, and Rs.15,44,625/-, has been awarded to the petitioner, as compensation. Copy of the speaking order dated 17.07.2025, filed today in the Court. The same is taken on record.

2. In view of the above said development, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to press the instant writ petition, and seeks permission to withdraw the same, with liberty to challenge the order (supra), in case, the grievance still survives.

3. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with the liberty as aforesaid.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

July 18, 2025

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No