



ARB-181-2024 & connected cases

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

282 (5 cases)

ARB-181-2024

Date of Decision 23.10.2024

M/s Naolin Infrastructure Private Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

...Respondent

With

ARB-192-2024

M/s Naolin Infrastructure Private Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

...Respondent

With

ARB-195-2024

M/s Naolin Infrastructure Private Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

...Respondent

With

ARB-194-2024

M/s Naolin Infrastructure Private Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

...Respondent



ARB-181-2024 & connected cases

-2-

And

ARB-206-2024

M/s Naolin Infrastructure Private Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Kartik Khicher, Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Nain, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Mayank Vashishth, Advocate for the respondent

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in all the captioned applications, with the consent of both sides the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from *ARB-181-2022*.

2. Through instant application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '**1996 Act**'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent, at the outset, submits that vide order dated 04.06.2024, National Company Law Tribunal, Hyderabad Bench has admitted application filed under Section 9 of the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (for short '**Code**') and declared the moratorium.



Insolvency Resolution Professional has been appointed by the Tribunal, thus, this Court, till the pendency of proceedings before Tribunal, should not make appointment of an Arbitrator.

4. On 18.07.2024, notice was issued to Insolvency Resolution Professional.

5. As per office report, notice has not been received back so far as served or otherwise.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant does not dispute the aforesaid factual and legal position.

7. In the wake of aforesaid factual position, at this stage, without advertent with merits of the case, the applications are disposed of. The Insolvency Resolution Professional is at liberty to move an appropriate application if he finds necessary to proceed with the matter.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

23.10.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No