

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:127811



(101)

CRM-36426-2025 in/and
CRM-M-37708-2025
Decided on : 16.09.2025

Charandeep Singh @ Charanjit Singh @ Channi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner(s).

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

CRM-36426-2025

Notice of the application in hand be issued to parties opposite.

On the strength of advance copy; Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal,
Addl. AG, Punjab causes appearance on behalf of the respondentNo.1-
State.

At this stage; Mr. Kapil Rana, Advocate has caused
appearance on behalf of respondent No.2.

Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon
perusal of the record, this Court deems it fit to recall the order dated
18.08.2025 and the petition is ordered to be restored to its original
number/status. List the main case today itself i.e. 16.09.2025.

Application stands allowed, accordingly.

CRM-M-37708-2025

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.89 dated 21.05.2025 registered for offences punishable under Section 115(2), 127(2), 140(3), 304, 191(3), 190, 351(2), 61(2) of BNS 2023 (offence under Section 117(2), 140(2), 140(4) of BNS, 2023 added lateron) at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 17.07.2025, the following order was passed:

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.89 dated 21.05.2025 registered for offences punishable under Section 115(2), 127(2), 140(3), 304, 191(3), 190, 351(2), 61(2) of BNS 2023 (offence under Section 117(2), 140(2), 140(4) of BNS, 2023 added lateron) at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that there was a scuffle on account of misunderstanding between the parties. The said misunderstanding has now been resolved by way of compromise (copy whereof has been appended as Annexure P-2 with the present petition) & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent No.1-State of Punjab.

At this stage; Mr. Kapil Rana, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed his power of attorney. He has ratified the aspect of the matter having been compromised between the parties.

Adjourned to 18.08.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 24.07.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and

join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required for recovery of a baseball bat.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is sought for only recovery of a baseball bat, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 17.07.2025.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 17.07.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

September 16, 2025

Naveen

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No