

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:131394



253

**CRM-M-40025-2025 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 22.09.2025.**

Priti @ Harpreet Kaur

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)**

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.100 dated 31.05.2025, under Sections 22/61/85 of NDPS Act (Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on), registered at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur.

As per prosecution case, the aforesaid FIR was registered against the petitioner when she was apprehended outside her house by the police officials and 55 loose intoxicant tablets were recovered from the polythene envelope which she had thrown on the ground.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 31.05.2025. Co-accused Pardeep Singh has already been granted regular bail by the trial Court vide order dated 11.07.2025. He urged that as trial of the case is likely to take time, therefore, the petitioner be granted concession of regular bail.

Notice was issued in this case on 31.07.2025 and status report was called from the State, which was filed on 02.09.2025 and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has opposed the petition and submitted that allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. During investigation, the petitioner was apprehended with 55 loose intoxicant tablets without any permit or licence and as such she is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Heard.

The petitioner was allegedly found to be in conscious possession of 55 loose intoxicant tablets which falls under the 'non commercial quantity'. Co-accused Pardeep Singh has already been granted regular bail by the trial Court vide order dated 11.07.2025. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody since 03 months and 19 days. Conclusion of trial is likely to take time, so no useful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail, on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of concerned learned trial Judge/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**22.09.2025.**

*Komal*

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No  
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No