



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

139

**CR-137-2025 (O&M)**  
Date of Decision:07.04.2025

RAJINDER KUMAR KASRIJA

.... Petitioner

V/S

VIDYA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**

Present: Mr. Brijeshwar Vashist, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. On 22.01.2025, this Court passed the following order:-

*“Counsel states that petitioner-plaintiff had filed a suit for declaration to the effect that he is the owner to the extent of 50% in the suit property by virtue of registered Will dated 27.05.2019 executed by his father. He submits that along with the suit, the petitioner had filed an application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC for ad interim temporary injunction restraining respondent No.1 from alienating the suit property. By making a reference to the interim orders passed by the trial Court, he submits that the application for ad interim injunction is repeatedly being adjourned and despite a lapse of almost 1½ year, it has not been adjudicated.*

*List on 26.03.2025.*

*Report be called for from the trial Court for the date fixed.”*

2. Pursuant thereto, a report has been received from the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Chandigarh, wherein it has been stated that



*CR-137-2025 (O&M)*

-2-

the Court is making strenuous efforts to decide all the pending applications as early as possible.

3. As counsel for the petitioner has confined the prayer to early disposal of the application, Annexure P-2, trial Court shall make an endeavour and decide it expeditiously preferably within a period of four months from the date of communication of copy of this order.

4. Petition is disposed off.

07.04.2025  
pooja saini

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)**  
**JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>