

2025:PHHC:089666-DB

LPA-1148-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.07.2025

Balwinder Singh ...Appellant

Vs.

Union of India and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**Present: None for the appellant.
Mr. Satya Pal Jain, ASG with
Mr. Shobit Phutela, Advocate for Union of India.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA J. (Oral)**CM-2813-LPA-2025**

Delay of 100 days in re-filing of the appeal has been satisfactorily explained.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and delay of 100 days in re-filing the appeal, is condoned.

CM-2814-LPA-2025

Delay of 480 days in filing of the appeal has been satisfactorily explained.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and delay of 480 days in filing the appeal, is condoned.

LPA-1148-2025 (O&M)

1. When the matter was called out, none appeared on behalf of the appellant.

2. This is an appeal directed against an order passed by the learned Single Bench dated 21.04.2023, rejecting the claim of compensation put forth by the appellant on the ground that he was apprehended from Golden Temple

during operation conducted by the armed forces in the year 1984, at Amritsar. According to the appellant, he was confined in jail and was tortured.

3. Thereafter, a review was filed before the learned Single Bench but the same was also dismissed vide order dated 01.07.2024.

4. Before the learned Single Bench, the prayer was to direct the State to adjust the appellant at suitable place and also to pay adequate compensation. However, the learned Single Bench has rejected the claim of the appellant after noticing the fact that no law has been shown or relied upon by the appellant to seek relief as was prayed for. The learned Single Bench has also taken note of the fact that the writ petition itself was filed after an inordinate delay. The cause of action to the appellant admittedly arose in 1984's. The writ petition has been filed in 2019 and there is no reason assigned by the appellant for this long delay.

4. Considering the observations made by the learned Single Bench that the entitlement to the relief has not been shown to flow from any statutory provisions and the claim otherwise is grossly barred by laches, we are not inclined to interfere with the order passed by the learned Single Bench. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

5. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

22.07.2025

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	:	Yes/No