



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

243

CWP-14103-2018

Date of Decision: 29.04.2025

Gejjo

...Petitioner

Versus

Controlling Authority and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present:- Mr. Rajeev K. Kapila, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Malkiat Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was wrongly advised to avail the remedy, where the relief has been declined vide order dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure P-1), whereas, the claim of the petitioner for pensionary benefits under the Old Pension Scheme is covered by the judgement of the Division Bench of this Court in CWP-2371-2010, decided on 30<sup>th</sup> August, 2010, titled as '*Harbans Lal versus State of Punjab*'. Hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty to avail a remedy from the concerned authority to seek pension under the Old Pension Scheme keeping in view the service rendered by the petitioner.

2. Ordered accordingly.

**( HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI )  
JUDGE**

**April 29, 2025***Nisha-1*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No