



CRM-M-29115-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

290

CRM-M-29115-2025

Date of decision : 23.09.2025

Sachin Chawla

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate
for petitioner (through video conferencing).

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amarsh Dudeja, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner – Sachin Chawla has filed this petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.206 dated 02.05.2017, registered under Sections 323, 328, 406, 498-A and 506 of IPC 1860 at Police Station Kotwali, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioner, in the light of compromise effected between the parties dated 12.05.2025 (Annexure P-5).

2. As per facts of the case, complainant/respondent No.2- Komal Chawla filed written complaint against her husband Sachin Chawla and other members of in-laws family. She alleged that her marriage was performed on 03.02.2005. They lived together as husband and wife and three children were born out of this wedlock. Her parents had spent Rs.30 lakhs on marriage. She



CRM-M-29115-2025

-2-

was constantly harassed in the matrimonial home and was taunted that she belonged to a rich family, even then she did not bring dowry in the shape of cash amount and a big car. She was not given proper food to eat. She was beaten up and compelled to bring huge money from her parents. Some of their demands were satisfied by giving huge cash amount by way of cheques. Even then there was no change in their attitude. All her gold ornaments were snatched by her mother-in-law and sister-in-law. There was demand for a *Fortuner* car. She has narrated various incidents which took place in the matrimonial home from time to time. She was also medically examined. Finally, the complaint was filed and on that basis, present FIR has been registered.

3. Petitioner filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 29.07.2025, petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad dated 10.09.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded where she confirmed the compromise with petitioner. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

Learned counsel representing State has filed status report, which is taken on record.

4. Petitioner- Sachin Chawla also confirmed this fact in his statement. Statement of P/SI Love is also recorded who confirmed that petitioner is not involved or declared as proclaimed offender in any other criminal case.



CRM-M-29115-2025

-3-

5. Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad it is clear that the compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, which is acceptable to both the parties. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute and started residing together. Now, they will be able to live in peace and harmony. It will end the litigation started between them.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in 2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 titled as "Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.", where it was explained that 'there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.'

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioner is accepted and FIR No.206 dated 02.05.2017, registered under Sections 323, 328, 406, 498-A and 506 of IPC 1860 at Police Station Kotwali, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arisen therefrom are quashed qua petitioner-Sachin Chawla.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

23.09.2025.

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No