



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

CACP-1-2006

Date of Decision: 21.10.2024

A.K.CHATURVEDI

...Appellant

Versus

HARBHAJAN SINGH KOHLI

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present:- None.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. This case falls in the category of burnt cases. Despite being informed to counsel for the parties by the Registry through e-mail, today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Further no effort has been made by the parties to reconstruct the instant case. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the matter. This Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
2. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
3. Liberty is reserved to the appellants to revive the appeal in case any cause of action survives.
4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE**

October 21, 2024*Mohit Bishnoi*

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No