



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(211)

**CRM-M NO.17801-2024(O&M)**  
**DATE OF DECISION: 19.09.2025**

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present Mr.Anil Mehta, Advocate,  
and Mr. Kartikey Mehla, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Tapan Masta, Addl. AG, Haryana.  
\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

CRM-13781-2025

CRM is for placing on record the copy of Zimni orders as  
Annexure P-5.

Allowed, as prayed for.

Annexure P5 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-17801-2024

1. This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail under Section 439 of Cr.P.C in case FIR No. 297 dated 29.10.2023, under Section 17(c) of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Bass District Hansi.
2. The case of the prosecution is that on 20.10.2023, 1 kg 400 gms of opium has been recovered from the present petitioner in his Tata Harrier Car bearing registration No.HR-59F-6060 at Rohtak-Hisar village Sorkhi, District Hisar.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The alleged recovery was a chance recovery. He further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case under NDPS Act. The petitioner is in custody since 29.10.2023.



4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate dated 17.09.2025 of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on record. As per which, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year 10 month and 15 days. He has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case under NDPS Act. He further submits that out of 16 cited prosecution witnesses only 04 witnesses have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for more than 01 year 10 month and 15 days; out of 16 cited prosecution witnesses only 04 witnesses have been examined so far and the petitioner is not involved in any other case under NDPS Act, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.



9. Since the petitioner has been allowed the concession of regular bail, therefore, CRM No.27852-2025 for grant of urgent interim bail to the petitioner is dismissed having been rendered infructuous.

10. All pending misc. applications, if any, be also disposed of.

**19.09.2025**  
**mamta**

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No