



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**COCP No.1329 of 2024 (O & M)**

**Date of decision :-23.09.2025**

**Krishan and others**

**.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Shri Vinay Kumar (IRPS Officer), Secretary of Haryana Staff  
Selection Commission, Panchkula**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- Mr. Vikrant Koundal, Advocate for  
Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate  
for petitioners No.2 to 8.

Petition qua petitioner No.1 was withdrawn (vide order  
dated 14.8.2024).

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

**NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners No.2 to 8 submits that contempt proceedings be initiated against the respondent for not complying the order dated 21.2.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-3938-2024 titled as “Krishan and others vs. State of Haryana and others”, vide which the petition was disposed of on the basis of statement made by learned counsel for the State to the effect that “...*the pending representation, dated 01.2.2024, shall be decided by respondent No.4, within a period of four weeks.*”



Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that necessary compliance has been made, while passing a Speaking Order dated 23.7.2024 by the respondent-authority; thus, no cause survives in the present petition.

Copy of the aforesaid Speaking Order dated 23.7.2024 produced by the learned counsel for the respondent-State is taken on record. Copy thereof is supplied to learned counsel for petitioners No.2 to 8. Registry to tag/paginate the same at appropriate place in the paper-book.

Learned counsel for the petitioners No.2 to 8 submits that since the order dated 21.2.2024 (Annexure P-1) has been complied with and a Speaking Order dated 23.7.2024 has been passed by the respondent-authority, the present contempt petition may be disposed of. However, the petitioners may be granted liberty to challenge the said Speaking Order dated 23.7.2024 as per law, if so advised.

Heard.

In view of the facts noted above and the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners No.2 to 8, the present contempt petition is **disposed of** with the liberty aforesaid to the petitioners.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

September 23, 2025

Vijay Asija

( **NIDHI GUPTA** )

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No