



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-57740-2024 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision:-07.04.2025**

Vipin

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present:- Mr. Abhishek, Advocate for  
Ms. Pooja Jaglan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Chinna, Asst. A.G. Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.275 dated 02.05.2024, under Sections 363, 366, 376 and 506 of IPC (Section 4 of POCSO Act deleted and Section 6 of POCSO Act added later on) registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been in custody for about 10 months and no prosecution witness has been examined till date. He submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the prosecutrix is not coming forth to depose before the trial Court and as such the petitioner may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submitted that although the custody of the petitioner is 10 months but the trial is yet to commence and no prosecution witness has been examined till date. He referred to



Annexure P-2, which is the statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. made by the complainant, wherein she has specifically stated that the present petitioner has enticed and taken her away to Muzaffarnagar and forced himself upon her and thereafter her brother brought her back. He further submitted that the prosecutrix is a minor of the age of 17 years and considering the gravity and seriousness of the offence, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

5. The custody of the petitioner is stated to be 10 months, and no prosecution witness has been examined. Learned State counsel referred to the statement made by the prosecutrix, wherein she has supported the prosecution and specifically stated that she was enticed away by the present petitioner. The victim is stated to be 17 years old and a minor. In view of the above and considering the gravity and seriousness of the offence as well as the stage of the trial, the present petitioner is not entitled for grant of regular bail.

6. In view of the above, the present bail petition is hereby dismissed.

7. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

**07.04.2025**

*shweta*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No