



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-5859-2025

Date of decision: 24.03.2025

Depinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the impugned order dated 26.11.2024, whereby the representation given by the petitioner has been dismissed.
2. Learned counsel submits that CM-1218-CWP-2024 filed by the petitioner for becoming an intervenor in CWP-18574-2018, was allowed on 02.02.2024, Annexure P-12, a fact which categorically stated in para 13 of the representation dated 13.08.2024, Annexure P-16 and as such entitled to the same relief as granted to the petitioners in that writ petition. However, by the impugned order dated 26.11.2024, Annexure P-17, it has been denied on the ground that his application was not allowed, which is contrary to record.
3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decided afresh, taking note of the aforesaid submissions and order dated 02.02.2024, Annexure P-12 passed by this Court, within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no



reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

4. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to her interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to her and shall contain reasons, whereupon she shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

24.03.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No