

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****126****CWP-21379-2025****DATE OF DECISION: 12.08.2025****MS TASA AUTOOMOTIVE COMPONENTS**

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. M.K. Sood, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the appeal which is preferred on 23.09.2024 against the order of resumption dated 15.03.2022 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had been allotted an industrial plot in the year 2002. He had paid the entire amount within stipulated period and conveyance deed was executed on 18.07.2003. The petitioner was later asked to deposit Rs.35,96,400/- enhanced cost in the year 2012. The petitioner had deposited 16 lakhs (approx.) which was the amount due as per his calculations. He had paid two instalments amounting to Rs.16 lakhs. He could not pay further instalments and had sought extension in time for payment of the same. The respondent No.4 had passed an order of resumption against which the petitioner has preferred an appeal which is pending before respondents.

3. Issue notice to the respondents.

4. Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the appeal against the order of resumption would be maintainable before the Managing Director and petitioner has not preferred any appeal before the Managing Director.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to file an appeal before the Managing Director, which may be considered and decided in accordance with law. He also submits that the petitioner has earlier been availing alternative remedies including civil suit wherein an interim order passed in his favour and is pending adjudication before the civil Court. He undertakes to withdraw the civil suit before filing the appeal. He also submits that the time consumed in availing alternative remedies, be condoned and his appeal be decided on merits.

6. In view of the afore-noted facts and circumstances, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to prefer an appeal before respondent No.2/Managing Director within a period of 15 days. Respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law within three months thereafter.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

12.08.2025

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No