



109 (1<sup>st</sup> case)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA-960-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 11, 2025

Pardeep

....Appellant

versus

The Presiding Officer and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

**Present:-** Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate for the appellant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR SINGH, J. (ORAL)**  
**CM-2394-LPA-2025**

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed. Delay of 38 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

**Main case (O&M)**

At the outset, learned counsel for the appellant submits that similar cases bearing LPA No.571-2025 titled **Balwan versus The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak and others**, and connected cases have already been dismissed by this Court vide judgment/order dated **07.05.2025**. He further submits that the present appeal may also be disposed of in terms of the aforesaid decision.

2. Disposed of in terms of LPA No.571-2025 (supra).
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUDHIR SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**(ALOK JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 11, 2025**

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No