



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

COCP No.1558 of 2023 (O & M)**Date of decision :-10.09.2025****M/s Ambience Farms Pvt. Ltd.****.....Petitioner****Versus****Ajit Balaji Joshi, IAS, Chief Administrator, Haryana Shehri Vikas
Pradhikaran, HSVP****.....Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate with
Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Advocate for the respondent.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for not complying the order dated 09.11.2009 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-17100 of 2009 titled as "M/s Ambience Farms Pvt. Ltd. vs. The State of Haryana and others" vide which while issuing notice of motion, the parties were directed to maintain status quo qua possession in respect of 2.25 acres of land falling in Kila Nos.560 and 565.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the present contempt petition may be disposed of at this stage in view of the fact that auction proposed by the respondent has failed and as of now the respondent is not creating any third party rights in the suit property falling in Kila Nos.560 and 565.



Learned counsel for the respondent controverts the above said submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that first and foremost no contempt is maintainable against an order of 2009. It is further submitted that even prior to passing of the status quo order the possession was already taken by the respondent vide Rapat Roznamcha No.93 dated 30.9.2009. It is further pointed out that the respondent was not a party to the writ petition. It is further submitted that in case of *Indore Development Authority vs. Manohar Lal and others AIR 2020 SC 1496* wherein the Constitution Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has held that once a Rapat Roznamcha is entered in favour of a party, it amounts to physical possession having been taken over by the said party free from all incumbrances.

Learned counsel for the petitioner controverts the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent and submits that violation of the order dated 09.11.2009 (Annexure P-1) has been committed by the respondent in the year 2023 and therefore, the contempt petition has been filed in the year 2023.

Heard.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the respondent, the present petition is **dismissed**.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

September 10, 2025
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No
Whether Reportable Yes / No