

2025:PHHC:051639



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

229

CWP-8117-2021 (O & M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2025

Sukhjinder Singh Sandhu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to place the petitioner in the higher pay scale of Rs.37000-67000+Rs.8700/- Grade Pay on completion of 14 years' service as on 15.02.2013, from the date of entry scale as Lecturer.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is entitled for the relief as sought for based on instructions dated 17.04.2000 and 22.12.2011 and clarification dated 09.08.2016, based on which CWP-16914-2014, **Pritpal Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others**, was allowed on 05.04.2017. However, the claim of the petitioner was rejected therein though the said judgment has been referred to, however, has not been distinguished, which as a matter of fact, squarely covers his case, as also by the judgment rendered in the case of **Dharam Pal and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP-2502-2015, decided on 09.05.2017.

3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the aforesaid judgments, within a period of 6 months, uninfluenced by the order impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

4. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

22.04.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No