



CR-908-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-908-2025

Date of decision : 13.02.2025

Kuldeep Singh Chadha

... Petitioner

Versus

Tarlochan Singh Chadha and another

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.B.R.Rana-I, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 15.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Ludhiana, vide which the evidence of the plaintiff-petitioner has been closed by order.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has got two witnesses examined and cross-examined and has prayed that only one last effective opportunity be granted to the petitioner to complete his evidence. It is submitted that the defendants have not led any evidence till date and for the delay caused in the proceedings, the petitioner is ready to compensate the respondents for the same.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner should be granted one last

**CR-908-2025****2**

effective opportunity to conclude his entire evidence and the present petition is partly allowed and the order dated 15.01.2025 to the extent that the evidence of the petitioner has been closed by order is set aside and the petitioner is granted one last effective opportunity to conclude his entire evidence and the same would be subject to the petitioner depositing costs of Rs.10,000/- on or before 19.02.2025 and the said amount would be released to the respondents in equal proportion. It is made clear that in case the said amount is not deposited, then, the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

4. In the present case, no notice is being issued to the respondents as issuance of notice to them would further delay the proceedings and would also entail expenses for the respondents to defend the present revision petition. However, it would be open to the respondents to move an application for recalling the present order in case any of the statement made before this Court is found to be false/incorrect.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 13, 2025.*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No