



CRM-M-35012-2025

-1-

246 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35012-2025

Date of Decision: 27.08.2025

Paramjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Harpal Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simran Gorla, AAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached by way of filing the present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.17 dated 08.03.2025 under Sections 103(1), 3(5) BNS, 2023 (Sections 61(2), 249, 238, 109, 111 of BNS, added lateron) and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar.
2. Succinctly, facts of the case are that the FIR in the present case was lodged on the statement of complainant Dalbir Singh. It was alleged that his son Gursewak Singh (deceased) was of the age of 13 years and he was a football player. On 08.03.2025, at about 2:00/2:30 p.m., he took his son to Government School ground in village Khabbe Rajputa to play football match. The team of his son secured second position in the tournament and after the prize distribution, the members of the team were taking photo with the trophy. Then at about 07:15 p.m., two unknown person arrived on motorcycle and the pillion rider fired on Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu. He again fired and the same hit on the back of his son Gursewak Singh. He fell down in front of him. Due to sudden commotion on the spot, the assailants escaped on their motorcycle. His son Gursewak Singh and Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu were shifted to Civil Hospital, where, his son succumb to the injuries.



Request was made to take legal action against the accused persons. During the investigation, complicity of the petitioner was established and she was arrested on 10.03.2025. He approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar praying for grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides, the learned Court finding no merit in the same, dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 17.04.2025. Hence, the petitioner has approached this Court praying for grant of regular bail by way of filing the present petition.

3. It has been vehemently contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the allegation as alleged against the petitioner is that she informed about the presence of Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu to her son, who is residing in Portugal. It is submitted that the allegation made against the petitioner is totally based on presumption and assumption. He submits that the petitioner had no role whatsoever in the alleged occurrence. It is submitted that even otherwise as per the case of the prosecution, enmity was between the son of the petitioner and Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu and thus, there was no intention on the part of the co-accused as well in firing at the deceased. He submits that the petitioner had no criminal antecedents and she is behind the bars since the date of her arrest i.e. 10.03.2025. It is further submitted that the investigation is complete and charges are also framed and thus, in the facts and circumstances, the petitioner deserves to be granted regular bail.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. She has submitted that



complicity of the petitioner has been proved during the investigation. She submits that the petitioner was the part of the conspiracy in murder of Gursewak Singh and injury to Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu. She submits that out of total 45 prosecution witnesses, no witness has been examined till date. She has placed on record the custody certificate of the petitioner.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that as per the case of the prosecution, enmity as alleged is between the son of the petitioner and Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu. Deceased in the present case is Gursewak Singh. Intention of the assailants as per the case of the prosecution was to fire at Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu, however, he survived and deceased had fallen victim to the rivalry between Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu and the son of the petitioner. The allegation against the petitioner is regarding providing information of presence of Gurpreet Singh @ Jaanu. The custody certificate of the petitioner would show that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 05 months & 13 days as on 26.08.2025. It further reveals that the petitioner is involved in one more case.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.



CRM-M-35012-2025

-4-

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

8. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

27.08.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No