



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20907-2025
Date of decision: 22.05.2025

SUKHWINDER SINGH ALIAS SUKHAPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Roshandeep Singh, AAG Punjab.

None for the complainant.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
113	03.07.2024	384, 506, 120-B IPC (Sections 387, 364-A, 392 IPC added later on)	Civil Lines, Amritsar, Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance
to the order dated 28.04.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 28.04.2025, following order
had been passed: -

“ 2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for
the petitioner that the petitioner having no concern with the
alleged occurrence has been wrongly named as accused in



the FIR. He contends that no specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner.

3. *Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and even during the course of proceedings the matter has been settled vide compromise (Annexure P-1) dated 03.12.2024. He further contends that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and seeks concession of anticipatory bail.*

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply, if any, in the matter.*

6. *Mr. Bhupinder Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant-respondent No.2 and has filed his memo of appearance. The same is taken on record. He has categorically stated that he has specific instructions from respondent No.2 to say that the matter has been compromised voluntarily between the parties and the respondent No.2 has no objection if bail is granted to the petitioner.*

7. *Be it the case, without commenting on the merits, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS.*

8. *List on 13.05.2025.”*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from Investigating Officer of the case informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation



consequent to the order dated 28.04.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 28.04.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.05.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |