



201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-:-

RSA-473-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.05.2025

Davinder Singh Gill

.....Appellant

Vs.

Haryana State Electricity Board

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate for the respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA.J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal pertains to the year 2001. On 29.04.2025, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the appellant and the Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant.
2. As per report of the Registry, appellant remained unserved as no such person was found at the given address.
3. Today, Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant and contends that he has no instructions in the matter.
4. In these circumstances, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
5. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.



RSA-473-2001 (O&M)

-2-

6. Liberty is reserved to the appellant to move an application for restoration of the appeal as and when any cause of action survives.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

May 14, 2025
tripti

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Speaking
Whether reportable: Yes / No