



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.3315 of 2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 25.03.2025**

Bhanwar Singh

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate,  
for the appellant.

\* \* \* \*

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA J.(Oral)**

The present Letters Patent Appeal suffers from gross latches and delay of 372 days which remains unexplained. On merits also, we find that the order passed by the learned Single Judge does not require to be interfered with, as admittedly the appellant was suspended on 20.04.2005 on account of the departmental proceedings initiated against him for which a charge-sheet was served upon him on 19.10.2005 and in the departmental proceedings, the charges were found to be proved as true but the order of punishment was passed till he retired from service on 28.02.2011. The appellant was acquitted of the charges on 13.08.2014. The learned Single Judge has denied the claim of the appellant for the salary other than subsistence allowance for the period during which he remained under suspension from 20.04.2005 to 26.04.2006 on the ground that the charges were found to be proved even in the departmental proceedings.



2. We do not find any reason, therefore, to take a different view as the mere acquittal would not entitle the appellant for full salary for the suspension period.

3. With the observations afore-said, the appeal is dismissed both on latches and delay as well as on merits.

4. All the pending misc. Application(s) also stand disposed of.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 25, 2025**  
seema

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable:*                *Yes/No*