



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32553-2025  
DECIDED ON: 18.06.2025

HARSH SANDHU ALIAS ARSHDEEP AND ANOTHER  
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA  
.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

1. **Relief sought**

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No. 95 dated 11.03.2025 under sections 110, 115, 126, 190, 191(3), 324(6) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Agroha, District Hisar.

2. Prosecution story setup in the present case as per the version in the FIR as under:-

*“Statement of Vicky Chahal son of Virender Singh resident of Ward No.14, Agroha District Hisar. Age 31 years, Mobile number Stated that I am resident of above mentioned address. I am married. I along with my father is working on juice corner shop at MAMC Agroha. I along with my friend Ketan son of Surender resident of Agroha and my uncle Pradeep Alias Vicky son of Raj Kumar resident of Agroha were going in GYM in vehicle bearing registration number HR 20 AE4465 i- 20 and the same was driven by me. As soon as hardly we crossed the*

house of Ketan one Scorpio vehicle bearing registration number HR26CK2757 of White colour came behind our car while sounding its horn. That since there was no way vehicle bearing registration number HR26CK2757 mark Scorpio was not able to cross. On finding the way, we gave them the way to go. After that we went to Lazeez Coffee shop at Agroha Medical for having tea. After that vehicle bearing registration number HR26CK2757 mark Scorpio and in the same Harsh Sandhu son of Miya Singh Sandhu resident of Agroha came on the same shop and after coming to the shop, he asked me that why he has not given him way and due to this we had arguments. After that from there we went to GYM and after GYM I left my Uncle and my friend Ketan at their respective house. At about 12 in the afternoon, I left for Agra Medical for giving meals to my father. Then I also made my friend Ketan and my uncle Pardeep Alias Vicky sit in my car. As soon as we reached near Agroha Stadium, then Scorpio vehicle bearing registration number HR26CK2757 of White colour came in front of our i20 car bearing registration number HR 20 AE4465. That vehicle was driven by Harsh Sandhu. After that from that Scorpio car Harsh Sandhu, Mita, resident of Sabarwas, Ajay Gurjar resident of Suklampur and 3-4 other persons got alighted. That Harsh was having Ghandsi in his hand and others were having wooden battons in their hands. After that my uncle got down from the car and they attacked with wooden stick on my Uncle and the same hit on the mobile phone of my uncle and phone got broke down. After that all of them got me down from the car and gave injuries with Gandasi and wooden Danda. That gandasi hit on my head and injuries were given on my body with wooden Danda. After that my friend Ketan tried to intervene and he was also given injuries with Danda. After that my uncle intervened and got me rescued. All of them threatened to kill us and ran away from the spot. Blood was oozing out from my head and then my friend and my uncle got me admitted in MAMC Agroha and there I am under treatment that I was given injuries by Harsh Sandhu, Mita, Ajay Gurjar and 3-4 other persons with Gandasi and Dandas without any reason. I came to know later on that they have also caused damage to our vehicle. That all of them has obstructed my way

*without any reason and gave me injuries with Danda's and Gandasi. They have opened deadly attack on me and also caused damaged to our vehicle. Strict legal action be taken against them and justice be given to me. That statement is read over and is correct.”*

3. **Contentions**

**On behalf of the petitioners**

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that as per the prosecution story, petitioner No.1 was allegedly armed with a gandasi and petitioner No.2 with danda, and both are accused of assaulting the complainant, his uncle, and his friends. However, no specific role has been individually assigned to the present petitioners in the incident. He further submits that a compromise has been reached between the petitioners and the complainant party, as reflected in Annexure P-2 and in this regard, a petition for quashing the proceedings has already been filed, bearing CRM-M-23449-2025, which is listed for hearing on 28.07.2025, as evident from Annexure P-3. The petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigation officer concerned, as has been undertaken before this Court by learned counsel for the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

**On behalf of the State**

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, who does not controvert the aforesaid fact with regard to the compromise.

**On behalf of the complainant**

Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his Vakalatnama, which is taken on

record. He also admits the factum of compromise entered into between the petitioners and the complainant party.

4. **Analysis**

Be that as it may, considering the fact that a compromise has been entered into between the petitioners and complainant party, as is evident from Annexure P-2 and in this regard, a quashing petition has already been preferred bearing CRM-M-23449-2025 as well as considering the undertaking given before this Court by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigation officer concerned.

5. **Relief**

In the light of above, the petitioners are directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to their joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

*‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-*

*(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*

*(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*

*(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*

*(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'*

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioners do not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**18.06.2025**

*Poonam Negi*

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*