



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(125)

CWP No. 10566 of 2025

Date of Decision : 09.04.2025

Shanti Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Chirag Suri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the result of the examination which the petitioner undertook in the year 2020 during the pandemic of Covid-19, was declared in the year 2024 but the said information was given to the petitioner in the year 2025, wherein, it was told to the petitioner that she has been declared fail, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that the relaxation was given to students while evaluating the answer sheet of the examination held during the period of pandemic of Covid-19, which benefit of relaxation has not been extended to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 10/12.03.2025 (Annexure P-18) to respondent-University but the same is still pending consideration with the respondent-University and the petitioner will be



satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3-University. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the representation dated 10/12.03.2025 (Annexure P-18) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

April 09, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No