



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

CRM-M-30247-2025

Date of decision: 09.10.2025

KARAN DEEP SINGH @ KANNU

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 102 dated 26.06.2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 326 and 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, District Jalandhar.

2. On 28.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Dated</i>	<i>Sections</i>	<i>Police Station</i>
102	26.06.2024	323, 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 326 and 307 IPC added later on)	Basti Bawa Khel, District Jalandhar.

2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case after unexplained delay of 45 days in lodging of the FIR. He



further contends that the petitioner has no concern whatsoever with the allegations levelled in the FIR and has no criminal antecedents and as such prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Jatinderpal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

5. Adjourned to 29.05.2025.

6. Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.

7. Investigating Officer of the case is directed to remain present in Court along with relevant record on that date.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 28.05.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 28.05.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.



8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

09.10.2025

jatn

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No