



COCP No.4825 of 2024 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

**COCP No.4825 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2025**

BALKAR SINGH**.....Petitioner****Vs****SAKSHI SAWHEY****....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Ms. Shubhamrit Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Komalpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 10 read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, prayer has been made for initiation of proceedings against the respondent on account of alleged willful non-compliance of order dated 21.08.2024 passed by this Court in CWP-20087-2024. The operative parts thereof are extracted hereunder for reference:-

“2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions may be issued to respondent No.2 (Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar) to decide the representation dated 08.02.2024 (Annexure P-2).

3. Mr. Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, who has appeared on behalf of respondent/State in this case, pursuant to the advance copy of petition having been sent to the State, submits that in case, any such representation is received in the office of respondent No.2 (Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar), the same would be decided at the earliest by passing a speaking order and in any case within a period of three months from today.”



COCP No.4825 of 2024 (O&M)

2. In response to the notice of motion order, a compliance report dated 18.12.2024 has been filed on behalf of the respondent along with a speaking order dated 20.11.2024 passed by Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar on the representation submitted by the petitioner.

3. A perusal of the order dated 21.08.2024 shows that a direction was issued to the Deputy Commissioner for passing a speaking order on the representation submitted by the petitioner which stands complied with by passing a speaking order dated 20.11.2024.

4. In view of the aforesaid, no further cause survives in the present petition and the same is thus, disposed of. However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved, he shall be at liberty to avail his remedies in accordance with law.

5. Rule discharged.

February 24, 2025

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No