

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

ARB-105-2014

Date of Decision : 17.10.2014

Rajender Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Tanmay Developers Private Limited & another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Present : Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA, ACJ. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed this petition for appointment of an Arbitrator under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 in terms of Clause 19 of the agreement (Annexure P-1) between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of Clause 19 of the agreement an Arbitrator be appointed to adjudicate upon the dispute between the parties.

There is no denial of the fact that prima facie a dispute exists between the parties and accordingly, I appoint Justice Mukul Mudgal, Former Chief Justice of this Court, as Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the dispute between the parties.

The Arbitrator shall fix his own terms & conditions as well as fee.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**17.10.2014
'SP'**