



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

217

COCP-307-2021

Date of Decision: 26.08.2025

Attar Singh (since deceased) through his LRs Petitioner

Versus

Mahavir Singh and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor with
Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. PP for U.T. Chandigarh.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits vide order dated 04.02.2020 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-18497-2016 titled as 'Attar Singh vs. The State of Haryana and others', the respondents have been directed as under:-

"In view of the above discussion, impugned order dated 10.08.2016 (Annexure P-6) is set aside and the respondents are directed to grant benefit of 2nd ACP to the petitioner after counting his previous service rendered in Government Aided Institution against sanctioned post w.e.f. 01.08.1979 to 31.03.1986."

2. At the very outset, it is fairly admitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance of the aforesaid order, 2nd ACP has been granted to the petitioner after taking into account his previous service w.e.f. the year 2010. It is submitted that however, no consequential benefits have been granted.

3. Accordingly, learned counsel submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to the petitioner to



approach the respondents for the release of consequential benefits accruing to the petitioner after granting 2nd ACP w.e.f. 2010 and also other allied reliefs; and also to take recourse to any other appropriate remedy, as per law.

3. Permitted to do so.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty aforesaid.
5. Rule stands discharged.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

26.08.2025

rishu

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No